Appendix D ANTIDEGRADATION REVIEW SHEET

1.	Name of Reviewer:
	Name of Receiving Water:
	Watershed:
	Segment Location (Land Descrip):
	Stream Designated Uses:
	Other:
2.	Brief Description of Proposed Activity:
ID N	Tumber if any:
3.	Which tier(s) of anti-degradation apply?
	_ Tier 3 - go to question 4
	_ Tier 2 - go to question 8
	_ Tier 1- go to question 14
Ques	stions
4.	Will the proposed activity result in a permanent new or expanded direct point source of pollutants to an OTRW segment (see Section 6.1.1.1 of the implementation procedure)?
	_ yes - recommend denial of a proposed activity _ no

Tier 3

5.	If the proposed activity will result in a permanent new or expanded direct point source of pollutants to a segment upstream from an OTRW segment, will the proposed activity affect OTRW water quality (see Section 6.1.1.2 of the implementation procedure).	
	_ yes - recommend denial of proposed activity no	
Basis	s for conclusion	
6.	If the proposed activity will result in a permanent new or expanded non-point source of pollutants, will the proposed activity increase pollutant loads or increase levels in a manner that affects OTRW water quality (see Section 6.1.2 of the implementation procedure)?	
	_ yes - recommend denial of proposed activity no	
Basis for conclusion		
7.	If the proposed activity will result in a non-permanent new or expanded source of pollutants to an OTRW segment or a segment upstream from an OTRW segment, will the proposed activity result in "temporary and limited" effects on OTRW water quality (see Section 6.1.3 of the implementation procedure)?	
	_ yes _ no - recommend denial of proposed activity	
Basis	s for conclusion:	

Tier 2 Questions

8.	Does the segment qualify for Tier 2 protection based on the applicable criteria (see Section 5.2 of the implementation procedure)?
	yes no
Basi	is for conclusion:
9.	Will the proposed activity result in significant degradation (see Section 6.2.3 of the implementation procedure)?
	yes no - recommend approval of the activity significance test by-passed due to availability of a reasonable less degrading alternative
If si	gnificance test not by-passed, basis for conclusion:
10.	Has the applicant completed an adequate evaluation of alternatives and demonstrated that there are not reasonable alternatives to allowing the degradation (see Section 6.2.4 of the implementation procedure)?
	yes no - recommend denial of the proposed activity
If no	o, basis for conclusion:
	Has the applicant demonstrated that the proposed activity will provide important o-economic development in the area in which the affected waters are located (<i>see tion 6.2.5 of the implementation procedure</i>)?
	yes no - recommend denial of the proposed activity
If no	o, basis for conclusion:

	12. Will existing uses be fully protected consistent with the Tier 1 procedures of by questions 15-17 below (<i>see Section 6.2.6 of the implementation procedure</i>)? No questions 15-17 must be completed.	
	yes no- recommend denial of proposed activity	
	13. Has compliance with required controls on point and nonpoint sources in the zone of influence been assured (<i>see Section 6.2.8 of the implementation procedure</i>)?	
	yes no - recommend denial of the proposed activity	
	Basis for conclusion:	
Tier 1	Questions	
	14. The basis for concluding that Tier 2 requirements do not apply is as follows (see Section 5.2.3 of the implementation procedure):	
	15. Are there uses that exist or have existed since November 28, 1975 that have more stringent water quality protection requirements than the currently designated uses (<i>see Section 6.3.2 of the implementation procedures</i>)?	
	yes no	
	If yes, basis for conclusion:	

16.	If the answer to question 15 was yes, what water quality criteria requirements will ensure protection of such existing uses (<i>see Section 6.3.2 of the implementation procedure</i>)?	
	(Indicate parameters and applicable water quality criteria.)	
17.	Will existing uses be fully maintained and protected (see Section 6.3.2 of the implementation procedure)?	
	yes no - recommend denial of the proposed activity	
If no	o, basis for conclusion:	
Preliminar	y Decision	
18.	Based on the above, can the proposed activity be authorized pursuant to the Tribe's Anti-degradation Policy?	
	yes	
	no	
Bass	is for conclusion:	
Signature:_		
Date:		